FOLLOW UP REPORT ON 1997-98 GRAND JURY REPORT

REASON FOR INVESTIGATION

The 1997-98 Civil Grand Jury report contained findings and recommendations concerning various county, city and other local government entities. Recent changes in the Penal Code have imposed increased obligations on respondents to Grand Jury reports. The 1998-99 Civil Grand Jury has the responsibility to follow up on the responses to the findings and recommendations, and the implementation of recommendations.

PROCEDURE FOLLOWED

The Grand Jury studied the 1997-98 Grand Jury report and responses, and reviewed documents pertaining to implementation of recommendations obtained from respondents. The Grand Jury also interviewed the following individuals:

County Administrative Officer David Brennan Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

FINDINGS

- 1. The 1997-98 Grand Jury report was given to each government entity which was required to respond to the report. It was also placed in local libraries, published verbatim in the local newspapers and made available to a local radio station. The report and responses are on the Internet at: http://www.co.nevada.ca.us/9798_Grand_Jury_Report/
- 2. The 1997-98 Grand Jury report contained a total of 153 findings involving several county, school district, library and city offices. Many findings required responses from more than one respondent. Respondents either agreed with or took no position on most of the findings. Some responses were not clear enough to distinguish positions on findings.
- 3. The 1997-98 Grand Jury report contained 55 recommendations involving:

Board of Supervisors (BOS) County Administrative Officer (CAO)

Assessor Director of Personnel

Sheriff Director of General Services
County Superintendent of Schools County Board of Education

All school district boards

All school district superintendents

Law Library Board of Trustees County Librarian

Nevada City City Council

Director of Emergency Services (who is also the Director of General Services)

Responses were required from all of the above. See the chart at the end of this Follow Up report for an analysis of responses.

- 4. During the time frame within which the responses were required, the 1998-99 Grand Jury sent notices to respondents reminding them of their responsibility under Section 933 of the Penal Code. The notice also called attention to the location in the report of the full text of the sections of the law pertaining to responses.
- 5. Responses were received from all respondents identified in the 1997-98 report. Most of the responses arrived within the mandated time frame. The responses varied in their adherence to Section 933.05 of the Penal Code. Section 933.05(b) requires that the respondents either agree with, or disagree wholly or partially with, *each* finding. The same section requires one of four responses to *each* recommendation (italics by Grand Jury). Responses to recommendations must state: 1) the recommendation has been implemented (with a summary of implementation), 2) will be implemented (with a time frame), 3) requires further study (with an explanation and time frame not to exceed six months), or 4) the recommendation will not be implemented (with an explanation).
- 6. The BOS agreed to implement or have further study on 31 of 40 recommendations in their response to the 1997-98 report. Nine recommendations are listed as already implemented.
- 7. The BOS has overall responsibility for eight of the reports included in the 1997-98 report. It shares responsibility in five of the remaining nine reports where the elected Sheriff, Tax Collector, District Attorney and Assessor's offices are involved.
- 8. Minute Orders (MO) are a process by which the BOS instructs staff to accomplish certain duties. The Grand Jury had difficulty tracking the MO process to ascertain the status of responses to the 1997-98 Grand Jury report. Improvement of the MO process is under consideration by the BOS.
- 9. The BOS issued a total of 32 MOs to staff relating to implementation of required responses to the 1997-98 Grand Jury report. By April 19, 1999, only eight MOs had been returned to show completion of the implementation of recommendations. Of the remaining 24 MOs, six had deadlines of 3-31-99 or earlier imposed by the BOS or the Penal Code.

CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Respondents did not always adhere to the time frames given in the Penal Code in their responses to the Grand Jury.
- 2. The Grand Jury takes note that after receiving copies of the 1997-98 Grand Jury report with an excerpt of the Penal Code describing the law pertaining to their responses to the report, some entities did not comply within the time frame required.
- 3. The BOS, by their issuance of 32 MOs, showed great diligence in responding to the 1997-98 Grand Jury report. However, it is obvious that staff does not take the BOS MOs seriously in as much as only eight of the 32 MOs were completed. Further, since many studies mandated by the BOS MOs now must be completed within the six months requirement set by the latest Penal Code, greater time frame urgency is required.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. The Grand Jury recommends that all respondents continue to address the weaknesses inherent in the current process of responding to Grand Jury reports. They should also make all staff members aware of the importance of keeping within the time frames for implementation of recommendations required by the Penal Code.
- 2. The Grand Jury recommends that the BOS tighten the MO process, requiring staff to complete each MO asking for studies on Grand Jury recommendations, within the six month time frame mandated by the Penal Code.
- 3. The Grand Jury commends those who responded to the 1997-98 Grand Jury report in a clear manner and in total compliance with Penal Code Section 933. The Grand Jury recommends that those who did not comply with Section 933 do so in the future.
- 4. The Grand Jury recommends that future Grand Juries use the analysis format of this report for clarity and continuity, and that the 1999-2000 Grand Jury track the implementation of recommendations for the period after March 31, 1999.
- 5. The Grand Jury recommends that the BOS instruct county management to place this Grand Jury report on the county's internet website within two weeks of publication, and that responses to the report be added to the website within two weeks of their due date.

REQUIRED RESPONSES

None

Following is an analysis of the responses to the 1997-98 Grand Jury report. Where none of the four boxes, reading horizontally, is marked, it indicates that the Grand Jury could not understand the responses enough to accurately assign them a location.

RESPONSES TO 1997-98 GRAND JURY REPORT

RECO	PLEMENTED DMMENDATION	WILL IMPLEMENT RECOMMENDATION	WILL STUDY RECOMMENDATIO	WILL NOT IMPLEMENT IN RECOMMENDATION
REPORTS	1 X			
97-98-1 TRUCKEE SUBSTATION (SHERIFF)	2 X 3			X
97-98-2 WAYNE BROWN (SHERI CORRECTIONAL FACILITY (BOS)	1 X 2	X		
97-98-3 JUVENILE HALL (ASSESSMENT CENTER)	2	X X		
97-98-4 NEVADA COUNTY COURTHOUSE	1 2 3	X	X X	
97-98-5 NEVADA COUNTY ASSESSOR'S OFFICE	1	X	X X X X	
97-98-6 NEVADA COUNTY INFORMATION SYSTEMS	1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 X 9 0 0	X X X X X X	X	
97-98-7 NEVADA COUNTY PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT	1	X		
	1 2	X X		

Following is an implementation analysis as of March 31, 1999. Where none of the four boxes, reading horizontally, is marked, it indicates that the Grand Jury could not locate a disposition of the recommendation.

IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS

COMMENTS

No documentation received, MO not returned.

No documentation received. MO not returned.

No documentation received, MO not returned.

No documentation received.

Completed 10/27/98

Expected by 8/31/99

No MO received.

No MO received.

Expected 5/31/99 No MO received.

No MO issued.

No MO issued.

No MO issued.

STUDY STUDY OT IMPLEMENTED NOT COMP. COMPLETE IMPL. 97-98-1 No documentation received, MO not returned. ** 97-98-2 No documentation received, MO not returned. MO not returned.*** 97-98-3 MO not returned. MO not returned. 97-98-4 No documentation received * MO not returned. 3 Timeframe exceeds six months. X No documentation received, MO not returned. No documentation received, MO not returned. 97-98-5 3 $\overline{\mathsf{X}}$ No documentation received, MO not returned. 4 X No documentation received, MO not returned. 5 Implemented 9/30/98 6

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

3

1

97-98-6

97-98-7

97-98-8

X X

X

Χ

^{*} Grand Jury was informed that recommendation had been implemented or study completed, but no documents forwarded.

^{**} Same as above plus the Minute Order (MO) was not returned to the Clerk of the BOS to show completion of the study or implementation of recommendation.

^{***} Documentation received that recommendation had been implemented or study completed, but no MO completed.

IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS

	IMPLEMENTED	OT IMPL.	STUDY COMPLETE	STUDY NOT COM	
97-98	-9 1 X 2 X				No documentation received.*
97-98	-10	NO RECOM	MENDATIONS		
97-98	1 X 3 X 4 X 5 X			X	No MO issued No documentation received, MO not returned.** No documentation received.
97-98-	12	NO RECOM	MENDATIONS		
97-98-	13 1				
97-98-	14 1 X 2 X 3			X	
97-98-	15 1 X 2 3				
97-98 - `	1 X 16 2 X 3 X 4 X 5 X				No documentation received. No documentation received.
97-98- ⁻	17 1 X 2 X 3 X				

^{*} Grand Jury was informed that recommendation had been implemented or study completed, but no documents forwarded.

^{**} Same as above plus the Minute Order (MO) was not returned to the Clerk of the BOS to show completion of the study or implementation of recommendation.

^{***} Documentation received that recommendation had been implemented or study completed, but no MO completed.

RESPONSES TO 1997-98 GRAND JURY REPORT

IMPLEMENTED WILL IMPLEMENT WILL STUDY WILL NOT IMPLEMENT RECOMMENDATION RECOMMENDATION RECOMMENDATION **REPORTS** 97-98-9 ERIC ROOD ADMINISTRATION BUILDING 97-98-10 NEVADA COUNTY NO RECOMMENDATIONS TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR 97-98-11 GENERAL SERVICES, 2 **COLLECTIONS DIVISION** 3 97-98-12 DISTRICT ATTORNEY NO RECOMMENDATIONS FAMILY SUPPORT DIVISION 97-98-13 COUNTY SCHOOL X **BD. OF TRUSTEES ELECTIONS** 97-98-14 NEVADA COUNTY SCHOOLS (CONSENSUS) 97-98-15 NEVADA COUNTY LAW LIBRARY 97-98-16 NEVADA COUNTY PUBLIC LIBRARY 3 97-98-17 CITY HALL OF **NEVADA CITY**

NOTE: THIS SHEET WAS INADVERTENTLY OMITTED DURING THE PRINTING PROCESS AND GOES WITH THE FACING SHEET.